	Ар	plication No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	09.	/558,476	SCHWARTZ, B	SCHWARTZ, BRUCE V.	
Examiner initiated interview Guilli	Ex	aminer	Art Unit		
	Pe	eter J Smith	2176		
All Participants:	Status of Application: RCE Amendment Entered				
(1) Peter J Smith - USPTO.		(3)		·	
(2) Arlen Hartounian - Attorney.		(4)			
Date of Interview: 1 November 2004	Т	ime: <u>2pm ET</u>			
	☐ Applicant's No	representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed: 1-17,23-29 and 52-56 Prior art documents discussed:	·				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	IE GENERAL	NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sometime did not result in resolution of all issues. A brieshold in the Notice of Allowability. 	ation. The exa	aminer will provide a	written summary of to the interview, since	the substance	
·					
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/App	licant's Representati	ve Signature – if appr	ropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed an amendment of the preamble of claims 1, 10, 23, and 54 to put the application in condition for allowance. Applicant suggested an alternate amendment to the preamble, which the Examiner accepted. Additionally, the Examiner noted a typographical error he discovered in claim 6 which Applicant agreed should also be fixed by the Examiner's amendment.